



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A



EALIZED SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO WITH AN ESTIMATED DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION

bу

C.G. Khatri
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad, 380009

C. Radhakrishna Rao and Y.N. Sun University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh. PA 15260

Center for Multivariate Analysis

University of Pittsburgh





Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Ė

AD-A166 059

TABLES FOR OBTAINING CONFIDENCE BOUNDS FOR REALIZED SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO WITH AN ESTIMATED DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION

ЪУ

C.G. Khatri
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad, 380009

C. Radhakrishna Rao and Y.N. Sun University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

AIR FONCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC) NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DTIC
This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for populate values law AFR 190-12.
Distribution is addinited.
MATTHEW J. RESPER
Chief, Technical Information Division

November 1985

Technical Report 85-40

Center for Multivariate Analysis Fifth Floor, Thackeray Hall University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

*This work is supported by Contract N00014-85-K-0292 of the Office of Naval Research and Contract F49620-85-C-0008 of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental authorized to reproduce and copyright notation hereon.

Discontinuo

TABLES FOR OBTAINING CONFIDENCE BOUNDS FOR REALIZED SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO WITH AN ESTIMATED DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION

bу

C.G. Khatri
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad, 380009

C. Radhakrishna Rao and Y.N. Sun University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

(NSPECTED)

Key Words and Phrases: Confluent hypergeometric distribution; discriminant function; signal to noise ratio.

ABSTRACT

Percentage points of a new distribution involving a confluent-hypergeometric distribution obtained by Khatri and Rao (1985)—are tabulated. The use of the tabulated values in obtaining a lower confidence bound for the realized signal to noise ratio based on an estimated discriminant function for signal detection is explained.

| Percentage points of a new distribution involving a confluent-hypergeometric distribution obtained by Khatri and Rao (1985)—are tabulated. The use of the tabulated values in obtaining a lower confidence bound for the realized signal to noise ratio based on an estimated discriminant function for signal detection is explained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a random variable having one of two possible p-variate normal distributions N $(0,\Sigma)$ and N (δ,Σ) when X is real (or N $(0,\Sigma)$ and N (δ,Σ) when X is complex). In the sequel, we follow the practice of giving the results for the real case first, and then for the complex case within brackets. For exact expressions of the p-variate normal density in the real and complex cases reference may be made to Khatri and Rao (1985). We consider the case where δ is known and Σ is unknown but an estimate $f^{-1}S$ of Σ

is available, where S has the Wishart distribution $W_p(f,\Sigma)$ (or $W_p(f,\Sigma)$) on f degrees of freedom. In such a case, the estimated linear discriminant function is proportional to $\delta'S^{-1}X$ (or $\delta*S^{-1}X$). The discriminatory power or the probability of correct classification when the estimated discriminant function is used on future samples is a monotonic function of the signal to noise ratio

$$\rho(S,\Sigma) = \frac{(\delta'S^{-1}\delta)^2}{\delta'S^{-1}\Sigma S^{-1}\delta}, \text{ (or } \tilde{\rho}(S,\Sigma) = \frac{(\delta*S^{-1}\delta)^2}{\delta*S^{-1}\Sigma S^{-1}\delta})$$
 (1.1)

which involves the unknown Σ . By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, (1.1) is $\leq \delta' \Sigma^{-1} \delta$ (or $\delta * \Sigma^{-1} \delta$), the signal to noise ratio when Σ is known so that there is some loss of information in using an estimated discriminant function. The problem is to make an inferential statement on the realized ratio (1.1) in terms of known quantities δ , S and f. This can be done in several ways as shown in the next section.

2. INFERENCE ON SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO

The key result for this purpose is Theorem 1 in Khatri and Rao (1985) where it is shown that in the real case

$$B = \frac{(\delta' s^{-1} \delta)^2}{(\delta' s^{-1} \delta) (\delta' s^{-1} \Sigma s^{-1} \delta)} \text{ and } G = \frac{\delta' \Sigma^{-1} \delta}{\delta' s^{-1} \delta}$$
 (2.1)

are independently distributed with the p.d.f. (probability density function) of B as

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{f+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{f-p+2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{p-1}{2})} b^{(f-p)/2} (1-b)^{(p-3)/2}$$
 (2.2)

and that of G as

$$\frac{1}{2^{(f-p+1)/2}\Gamma(\frac{f-p+1}{2})} e^{-g/2} g^{(f-p+1)/2}.$$
 (2.3)

In the complex case, defining \tilde{B} and \tilde{G} with δ ' replaced by δ * in (2.1), it is shown that \tilde{B} and \tilde{G} are independently distributed, with the p.d.f. of \tilde{B} as

$$\frac{\Gamma(f+1)}{\Gamma(f-p+2)\Gamma(p-1)} \tilde{b}^{(f-p+1)} (1-\tilde{b})^{p-2}$$
 (2.4)

and that of G as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(f-p+1)} e^{-g} g^{f-p}. \qquad (2.5)$$

The distribution (2.4) was earlier obtained by Reed, Mallet and Brennan (1974). The distributions (2.3)-(2.5) are independent of the unknown parameters which enables inferences to be drawn on (1.1) through the <u>pivotal statistics</u> B and G (or B and G).

1) Using the expressions for the moments of the beta distribution (Rao, 1973, p. 168)

$$E[B(or B)] = \frac{f-p+2}{f+1}$$

which gives

CONTRACTOR STREET, AND STREET, STREET,

$$E[\rho(S,\Sigma)(\text{or }\rho(S,\Sigma))] = \frac{f-p+2}{f+1} \{\delta'\Sigma^{-1}\delta(\text{or }\delta*\Sigma^{-1}\delta)\}. \tag{2.6}$$

If the average efficiency is to be maintained at about half the optimal efficiency, then from (2.6) we have

$$\frac{f-p+2}{f+1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } f = 2p$$
 (2.7)

for both the real and complex cases. The result for the complex case is mentioned in Reed, Mallet and Brennan (1974). Similarly we can equate the ratio in (2.7) to any desired ratio other than $\binom{1}{2}$ and obtain an expression for the degrees of freedom f needed for the estimation of Σ .

2) Perhaps a more satisfactory way of using the distributions (2.2) and (2.4) is as follows. Let b_{α} (or \bar{b}_{α}) be the lower α % point of

the distribution (2.2) (or (2.4)). Then we can make the confidence statement that

$$\rho(S,\Sigma) \geq b_{\alpha} \delta' \Sigma^{-1} \delta \quad (\text{or } \rho(S,\Sigma) \geq \tilde{b}_{\alpha} \delta^{*} \Sigma^{-1} \delta)$$
 (2.8)

with a confidence coefficient of $(1-\alpha)$ %.

3) The results (2.7) and (2.8) still involve the unknown quantity Σ . We raise the question whether the actual magnitude of $\rho(S,\Sigma)$ for given S can be assessed through known quantities. Using the joint distribution of B and G as in (2.2) and (2.3), or B and G as in (2.4) and (2.5), it is shown in Khatri and Rao (1985) that the estimate

$$\hat{\rho}(S,\Sigma) = \frac{(f-p+2)(f-p-3)}{f(f+1)} D_p^2 \text{ (or } \hat{\rho}(S,\Sigma) = \frac{(f-p+2)(f-p-1)}{f(f+1)} D_p^2)$$

where $D_p^2 = f\delta'S^{-1}\delta$ (or $f\delta*S^{-1}\delta$) has the property $\frac{Min}{g} E[\rho(S,\Sigma) - gD_p^2]^2$

=
$$\mathbb{E} \left[\rho(S,\Sigma) - \rho(S,\Sigma) \right]^2$$
 (or = $\mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\rho}(S,\Sigma) - \tilde{\tilde{\rho}}(S,\Sigma) \right]^2$).

so that $\hat{\rho}(S,\Sigma)$ (or $\hat{\overline{\rho}}(S,\Sigma)$) is a predictor of $\rho(S,\Sigma)$ (or $\overline{\rho}(S,\Sigma)$)

4) Khatri and Rao (1985) also obtained an exact confidence bound for $\rho(S,\Sigma)$ for the computation of which we provide extensive tables in this paper.

We define the random variable

$$Z = \frac{1}{2}BG = \frac{f}{2} \frac{\rho(S, \Sigma)}{D_p^2} \text{ (or } \tilde{Z} = f \frac{\tilde{\rho}(S, \Sigma)}{D_p^2})$$

which has the confluent hypergeometric distribution with the probability density function

$$\frac{e^{-z} z^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)} \Psi(b,m-a+1;z).$$

where m = (f-p+1)/2, a = (f-p+2)/2, b = (p-1)/2, m-a+1 = 1/2

(or m = f-p+1, a = f-p+2, b = p-1, m-a+1 = 0), and

$$\Psi(b,c;z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(b)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{b-1} (1+t)^{c-b-1} \exp(-zt) dt$$

as described in Khatri and Rao (1985, Theorem 3 and Remark 5). If z_{α} (or z_{α}) is the lower α % point of this distribution, then

$$\rho(S,\Sigma) \geq \frac{2z_{\alpha}}{f} D_{p}^{2}, \text{ (or } \rho(S,\Sigma) \geq \frac{z_{\alpha}}{f} D_{p}^{2})$$

provides the lower confidence bound to the signal to noise ratio $\rho(S,\Sigma)$ (or $\rho(S,\Sigma)$) with a confidence coefficient of $(1-\alpha)\%$. Tables 1-5 given in the next section give the values of $2z_{\alpha}$ (or z_{α}) for various combinations of p and f, and $\alpha=0.05$, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 0.95.

3. PERCENTAGE POINTS AND SOME APPROXIMATIONS

Tables 1-5 give the lower $\alpha\%$ (for $\alpha\%$ = 5, 25, 50, 75 and 95) points of the distributions of 2z and z for different-values of p and f. Actually z_{α} is obtained as a solution to the equation

$$\alpha = \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{f+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{p-1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{f-p+2}{2})} y^{(f-p)/2} (1-y)^{(p-3)/2} dy \int_0^z \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{f-p+1}{2})} e^{-x} x^{(f-p-1)/2} dx$$

and \tilde{z}_{α} to the equation

$$\alpha = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\Gamma(f+1)}{\Gamma(p-1)\Gamma(f-p+2)} y^{f-p+1} (1-y)^{p-2} dy \int_{0}^{z_{\alpha}/y} \frac{1}{\Gamma(f-p+1)} e^{-x} x^{f-p} dx.$$

To obtain $(1-\alpha)$ % lower confidence bound, we multiply the Mahalanobis distance $D_p^2 = f\delta'S^{-1}\delta$ (or $f\delta*S^{-1}\delta$) by $2z_{\alpha}/f$ (or z_{α}/f).

We give several approximations to the distributions of z (or z) from which fairly approximate values of z_{α} (or z_{α}) can be easily obtained if p/f is not large.

- (i) Gamma approximation
 - (a) We consider the statistic

$$g(p,f)z$$
 (or $g(p,f)z$) (3.1)

and approximate its distribution by a gamma distribution $G(1,\nu)$ or $G(1,\nu)$.

To determine g(p,f) and v we equate the first two moments of g(p,f)z with those of G(1,v). The equations are

$$E[g(p,f)z] = g(p,f)E(z) = v$$

$$V[g(p,f)z] = [g(p,f)]^{2}V(z) = v$$

which give

$$g(p,f) = \frac{E(z)}{V(z)} = \frac{(f+1)(f+3)}{(f+1)(f+3)-p(p-1)}$$

$$v = \frac{[E(z)]^2}{V(z)} = \frac{f-p+1}{2} \frac{(f+3)(f-p+2)}{(f+1)(f+3)-p(p-1)}.$$

Similarly

$$\tilde{g}(p,f) = \frac{E(z)}{V(z)} = \frac{(f+1)(f+2)}{(f+1)(f+2)-p(p-1)}$$

$$\tilde{v} = \frac{[E(z)]^2}{V(z)} = \frac{(f+2)(f-p+1)(f-p+2)}{(f+1)(f+2)-p(p-1)}$$

(b) We consider the statistic

$$g(p,f)z^{c}$$
 (or $g(p,f)z^{c}$ (3.2)

and approximate its distribution by a gamma distribution $G(1,\nu)$ (or $G(1,\nu)$). To determine g(p,f), c and ν , we equate the first three moments of $g(p,f)z^{c}$ with those of $G(1,\nu)$. Taking m=(f-p+1)/2, a=(f-p+2)/2 and b=(p-1)/2, the equations are

$$v = g(p,f)\Gamma(m+c)\Gamma(a+c)\Gamma(a+b)/\{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a+b+c)\},$$

$$v+1 = g(p,f)\Gamma(m+2c)\Gamma(a+2c)\Gamma(a+b+c)/\{\Gamma(m+c)\Gamma(a+c)\Gamma(a+b+2c)\}$$

$$v+2 = g(p,f)\Gamma(m+3c)\Gamma(a+3c)\Gamma(a+b+2c)/\{\Gamma(m+2c)\Gamma(a+2c)\Gamma(a+b+3c)\}.$$

These equations give

ASSESSED TO THE POSSESSED AND THE STATES OF THE STATES OF

$$\frac{\Gamma(m+3c)\Gamma(a+3c)\Gamma(a+b+2c)}{\Gamma(m+2c)\Gamma(a+2c)\Gamma(a+b+3c)} + \frac{\Gamma(m+c)\Gamma(a+c)\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a+b+c)}$$

$$= 2 \frac{\Gamma(m+2c)\Gamma(a+2c)\Gamma(a+b2c)}{\Gamma(m+c)\Gamma(a+c)\Gamma(a+b+2c)}$$

from which a solution for c is computed using an appropriate computer program.

Similarly, for the complex situation, we take m=f-p+1, a=f-p+2 and b=p-1, and determine the values of c, g(p,f) and v as above. The values of these constants for the real and complex cases are given in Table 6 for some values of p and f.

We find by comparing with actual values that the approximation given by (3.2) is more accurate than that given by (3.1), and the approximation is fairly accurate even for small values of f and p. (ii) Normal approximation

(a) Using the Wilson-Hilferty's approximation as modified by Konishi (1981), we have

$$(9v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ (\frac{g(p,f)z}{2v})^{1/3} - 1 + \frac{1}{9v} \} \sim N(0,1)$$

[or
$$(9\tilde{v})^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ (\frac{\tilde{g}(p,f)\tilde{z}}{2\tilde{v}})^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 + \frac{1}{9\tilde{v}} \} \sim N(0,1)].$$

where g(p,f) and v (or g(p,f) and v) are defined in (3.1).

(b) As in (a), we can take

$$(9v)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{g(p,f)z^{c}}{2v}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 + \frac{1}{9v}\right\} \sim N(0,1)$$

[or
$$(9\tilde{v})^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ (\frac{\tilde{g}(p,f)\tilde{z}^{c}}{2\tilde{v}})^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 + \frac{1}{9\tilde{v}} \} - N(0,1)]$$

where g(p,f), v and c (or g(p,f), v and c) are defined in (3.2). The normal approximation is fairly accurate even for small values of f and p.

4. REFERENCES

- Khatri, C. G. and Rao, C. R. (1985). Effects of estimated noise covariance matrix in optimal signal detection. Technical Report 85-38, Center for Multivariate Analysis, University of Pittsburgh, PA 15260.
- Konishi, S. (1981). Normalizing transformations of some statistics in multivariate analysis. Biometrika, 68, 647-651.
- Rao, C. R. (1985). <u>Linear Statistical Inference and its Applications</u> (Second Edition), Wiley, New York.
- Reed, I. S., Mallett, J. D. and Brennan, L. E. (1974). Rapid convergence rate in adaptive rays. <u>IEEE Transactions on</u>
 Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 10, 853-863.

TABLE I. Values of $2z_{\alpha}$ and z_{α} for $\alpha=0.05$ and various combinations of p (number of variables) and f (degrees of freedom). (2z is the upper value and z is the lower value)

	í	1																																									
	700	6.18	2.32	74.458	0.53	2.75	8.75	1.07	7.00	9.43	5.27	7.77	3.56	6.36	1.87	1.56	22.0	2.99	8.56	1.43	6.94	9.90	5.33	B. 39	3.75	90.9	2.18		9.64	8.60	3.24	1.88		. d	96.) i	ò.	. w	8.68	0.82	3.7	6.77	9.35
;	06	7.	3.1	9	7.	6.	9.6	2.3	٠. و	.,	6.2	9.1	S	7.5	2.B	v. o	7.2	•	9.5	2.8	ө. О	۳.	6.4	<u>ه</u> .	8.9	8	ы. П	0.	 8	7	9.	.		20 (91 91	? .		æ.	1.6	. .	7.2	1.2	ы. Б
	0	8.67	4.08	56.992	2.32	5.33	0.58	3.70	8.87	2.09	7.19	0.51	5.53	B. 96	3.90	7.44	2.29	5.94	0.7	4.47	9.16	3.02	7.63	1.60	6.13	0.27	4.65	B. 84	3.20	2.39	5.34	85.58	7.0	7. 38	4.4 8		9. 4 B	2.80	S. 08	9.39	. 28	ຮູ	.05
i	70	S	55.	4 8.	53	•	2	, 5	49.	4 3.	4 8.	4 2.	\$	\$0.	5	39.	£3	37	;	36.	•	34.	38.	33	37.	32	36.	9	4	74	87	95	;;	÷ :		2	77	Ċ	o.	÷	ė	તં	m
	09	2.5	6.0	39.886	4 .3	Э	2.6	6.7].0	5.2	4.6	3.7	7.8	2.2	6.3	B. 0	8	S	Э. Э.	8.7	5.9	6.8	S.	S. 55	3.	4 ·	7.7		9	7.6	•	Ω:Ω	, c	ית פ		•	7	i.	•	ø	ü	'n	σ.
	9	3.1	7.2	31.550	r. S	<u>.</u>	۳.	8	 	7.0	7.	5.6	9.5		7.7	2.9	9	9.	ę.	₹.	3.6	9.7	2.2	8.0	٠. د	9		D	9.6	7	3.2	٠:	9,	፣	•	•	20	•	7	゙	ŗ	•	:
,	.	9.02	2.85	27.450	1.19	5.95	9.57	50	8.01	3.05	6.49	1.70	5.03	0.37	3.58	9.10	2.20	7.90	0.86	6.70	9.57	5.55	B. 33	1.45	7.12	3.42	5.96	79.7	6.85	.02	9	.67	סינ	3		9 6	97.	7	. 26	1	!	1	:
•	9	4.90	B.50	23.426	6.87	1.95	5.29	0.50	3.76	9.15	2.28	7.85	0.86	6.57	9.48	5.37	8.16	4.22	6.83	3.10	5.67	2.05	4.50	1.05	3.37	0.70	2.30	9	28	. u	.87	3:	70	. y.		??	9	!	:	!	1	-	!
	S.	6.0	4.2	19.450	5.6	8.0	٠. د	9.9	S	ر. س	8.1	7	6.7	2.9		8	7.7	0.7	۵. د	9.7	o.	۲.	æ	₩.	æ	•	æ (7	٠.	9	∹	٠,	•	∵ '		•	1	!	!	:	!	-	1
	2	6.97	9.95	15.550	8.41	4.17	6.92	2.90	5.47	1.70	4.15	0.55	2.85	9.45	.65	. \$5	. 48	. 47	7	.58	8	٠٢.	.42	<u>.</u>	S.	8	.7	3	6	. 28	96	. i	7		:	1	!	-	!	:	!		;
	67	3.15	5.77	11.788	4.27	0.50	2.87	9.32	1.52	8.22	.27	.17	.08	. 22	66	.35	.97	ດ	.03	.8	.17	. 15	.37	.5	.65	.05	8	ָ הַ	.42	. 22	ž	•		1	: 1) }	1	!	!	1	!	!	;
	07	9.23	. 72	8.214	. 28	ຣີ	.97	.02	.75	.0	.62	5	.6	.45	.67	.77	8	. 17	60.	67	.43	. 23	.86	88	.37	.59	96.	7	5	i) 	!		1		1	1	1	1	:	1	1	}
	67	.95	.8	4.900	4 8	6	.32	.07	. 28	.35	.37	.72	. 57	. 22	96	. 82	E.	7	.88	. 28	.53	. 13	.27	8	=	8	. 02		i	1	i i I	1	!	!	: 1	1	!	!	!	:	1	!	!
	707	.87	.09	2.026	90.	E	. 16	. B.	÷.	.	. 89	. 23	.48	.02	. 21	9	90.	1	;		1	1	:	1 1	1	;	1	! !	;	!!!	1	;	! !)) (1	1 1	!	1	:	1	!	:
•	ה י	5	.05	0.172	.45	. 03	. 13			1	!	1	!	!	:	!	!	<u> </u>	1	!!!	;	1	!	1	-	!	ļ	:	;	!	!	1 1)) :	! !		 	:	!	i	!!!	1	!	:
•		-	-	•	••		-	•		-	••			-			••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	•		•		•	•	-	-	•			• •	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<u>a</u>	~		m		•		ហ		9	1	7		₩	•	7	9	2		11		12		13		ĭ		n	ć	2		C7	•	>	4			•		4 5		0	

TABLE II. Same as in Table I for $\alpha = 0.25$

887.358 883.7.458 883.7.458 883.7.458 883.7.458 883.7.458 772.858 883.858 772.858 883.858 772. 7.7.7.3 7.7.3 7 8 2 609 ġ. 337.346 337.346 337.346 337.346 337.346 337.346 337.36 337 ರ Table 227.40 237.40 237.40 237.40 237.40 237.40 237.40 237.40 247.40 247.40 25 88 Same 25 III. 117.001 118.99693 119.99693 119.99693 119.99693 119.9969 119.9969 119.9969 119.9969 119.9969 119.9969 20 TABLE 15 7.526 7.774 7.774 6.226 6.223 3.238 3.238 3.238 1.264 11.581 11.581 0.284 0.284 111111 10

997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.398 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.394 997.396 997.394 997.394 997.396

107.060 104.502 105.004 100.965 100.965 100.945 98.946 96.965 96.965 96.965 97.002 98.946 98. 88.042 83.785 83.785 83.785 83.785 83.786 83.786 83.786 77.776 77.948 77 ರ in Table I for 98 Same TABLE IV. 8.010 6.6622 6.326 6.326 6.326 3.452 2.452 1.458 0.698

TABLE V. Same as in Table 1 for $\alpha = 0.95$

igasa iranggas i sasasas i sasasas indasasas (Iranggas iranggas iranggasa iranggasa iranggas iranggas iranggas

90	99.638 110.918 122.	97.422 108.710 119.	89	88.842 49.753 110.	93.054 104.356 115.	86.749 97.661 108.	90.902 102.208 113.	84.679 95.589 106.	88.772 100.080 111.	82.631 93.537 104.	86.664 97.972 109.	84.578 95.882 107.	78.604 89.495 100.	82.514 93.812 105.	76.625 87.504 98.	74.668 85.534 96.	78.454 89.734 100.	72.735 83.585 94.	70.826 81.656 92.	74.484 85.736 96.	72.534 A3.766 94.	67.076 77.861 88.	63.122 74.226 85.	58.113 68.738 79.	54.296 65.202 76.	45.054 56.706 67	41 979 K7 0R0 K7	38.442 48.744 59.	34.827 44.559 54.	31.442 41.328 51.	28.297 37.587 47.	25.080 34.470 44.	22.401 31.169 40.	19.370 28.176 37.
60 70	6.806 68.2	4.562 86.0	68.948 79.990	6.825 77.8	0.160 81.6	4.732 75.7	8.000 79.5	2.668 73.7	5.870 77.3	0.635 71.6	3.770 75.2 8 63 168 6	1.698 73.1	6.658 67.6	9.654 71.1	4./15 65.6 7 642 69.1	2.803 63.7	5.658 67.1	0.922 61.8	9.071 59.9	1.782 63.1	7.252 58.1 9.888 61.2	5.464 56.2	0.888 52.0	6.996 47.5	2.680 43.4	5.288 35.5	2.485 32.0	8.744 28.3	6.481 25.4	3.078 21.9	1.342 19.4	.328 16.2	.093 14.2	.540 11.3
45 50	376 65.	.126 62.9	•	071 55.6	676 58.5	981 53.5	.450 56.3	.931 51.5	.312 54.2	921 49.5	.238 52.1	250 50.1	019 45.5	226 48.1	.129 4 5.6	280 41.7	312 44.0	444 47 39.9	705 38.1	588 40.3	738 38.5	297 34.6	388 29.8	.522 26.6	038 22.1	888 15.4	303 13.4	962 9.8	954 8.4	348 5.4	.865 4.4	2.1	Ģ	
0	53.426	51.150	157 46.550 52	44.420	46.726	42.334	44.500	40.292	42.376	38.294	40.376	38.338	34.433	36.300	32.5/1	30.754	32.500	28.984	27.261	28.826	27.062	23.956	18.932	16.541	12.138	6.638	5.534	2.624	2.086	!	!	!	:	:
30 35	1.376 47.4	9.126 45.1	800 40.8	2.969 38.7	4.626 40.6	0.888 36.6	2.426 38.5	8.888 34.6	0.350 36.4	6.913 32.6	8.276 34.3	6.326 32.3	3.200 28.8	4.362 30.3	1.444 27.0 2 506 28 4	9.725 25.2	0.688 26.6	8.050 23.5	6.481 21.8	7.312 23.0	5.676 21.3	.500 18.6	.660 13.6	,234 11.7	.424 7.5	2.9	2.3	; ;					:	
25	35.282	32.882	544	27.163	28.400	25.119	26.238	23.131	24.188	21.188	22.168	20.212	17.591	18.368	15.863	14.250	14.850	12.700	11.225	11.618	10.168	8.51	4.04	3.23	i		!	!		1	!	:	-	:
15 20	354 28.3 569 25.6	070 26.5	363 23.4 752 24.2	250 21.2	582 22.1	256 19.2	544 21.3	394 17.2	500 17.9	628 15.4	682 15.9 981 13.6	926 14.0	484 11.9	334 12.3	106 10.3 854 10 6	880 8.8	602 9.0	786 7.5	856 6.2	358 6.2	0.70 	3.9	:									!		
7	5.700 22	3.232 2	1.136 17	9.102 15	.762 15	.185 13	.804 13	.479 11	.002 11	.953	630 7	. 960	.521 6	9		rm !	3.		,	٦,	1 1		!	!	1 1	1 1	1	1	:	1	1	:	1	•
20			6 40	~			:	;	1	!	! !	į	!			;	:	: :	;			:	:	1			;	;	ŀ	;	!	:	!	!
2	1 256 1	:2	~~	9			i		•	'	•	,																						

Terres 1888 Server Server (Certific Contest Defected Server Server Server Contest Defected Server Contest Cont

SECURITY CLASSIFIC ATION OF THIS MAGE (When Date Enterny) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 2 GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER TR. 86-0062 YPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Tables for obtaining confidence bounds for Technical - November 1985 realized signal to noise ratio with an estimated S. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER discriminant function 7 AUTHOR(e) S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(1) 0292 C.G. Khatri, C.R. Rao, and Y.N. Sun F49620-85-C-0008 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Center for Multivariate Analysis 61100F 515 Thackeray Hall University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260 2304 A5 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE November 1985 Miles of Hove Loss and 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Air Force Office of Scientific Research 14 4. MONITORING-ACENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) (Cing Unclassified 180. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTHIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstrect entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19 KEY WORDS (Continue un reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number)

Confluent hypergeometric distribution; discriminant function; signal to noise ratio.

20 ABSTRACT (Cuitinue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Percentage points of a new distribution involving a confluent-hypergeometric distribution obtained by Khatri and Rao (1985) are tabulated. The use of the tabulated values in obtaining a lower confidence bound for the realized signal to noise ratio based on an estimated discriminant function for signal detection is explained.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473

Unclassified

AND ANDERSON STATEMENT OF THE STATEMENT